

Because of my concern for this issue, I recently wrote to the Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission to get his views regarding this amendment. Mr. Pitt responded:

The Securities and Exchange Commission believes this legislative change is premature at this time.

I ask unanimous consent that this entire letter be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the letter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

UNITED STATES SECURITY
AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
Washington, DC, March 11, 2002.

Hon. MICHAEL B. ENZI,
U.S. Senate, Senate Russell Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR ENZI: Thank you for your letter concerning proposed amendment #2989 (Congressional Record, March 7, 2002, p. S1685), introduced by Senator Dianne Feinstein and others, to S. 517, the pending Senate energy legislation. This amendment would repeal key provisions enacted as part of the Commodity Futures Modernization Act (P.L. 106-534) applicable to over-the-counter derivatives contracts in certain energy products.

The Securities and Exchange Commission believes this legislative change is premature at this time—barely more than a year after the CFMA's enactment. Because of on-going federal investigations, the lack of rigorous analysis about the CFMA's effect on the derivatives markets as a whole, and the absence of a determination about what role (if any) over-the-counter derivatives played in the collapse of Enron or the California energy crisis of last summer, we do not believe that any action should be taken until all of the facts are available for evaluation.

Thank you for giving the Commission an opportunity to comment on this legislative proposal.

Yours truly,

HARVEY L. PITT,
Chairman.

Mr. ENZI. I ask that Members step back and, if there is a problem, let's address it in a responsible manner through the normal process. Let's begin to hold hearings on energy trading, and after we have had time to evaluate what we have learned, we can look forward to a reasonable solution. This is too early and takes away the opportunity to sell off risk by some other companies. I ask for you to defeat the amendment.

I yield the floor.

IRAQ

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Madam President, I refer my colleagues to an incident that has perhaps occurred without the knowledge of those who are lamenting that our dependence on imported oil has been relieved somewhat because prices are down.

I call to the attention of my colleagues the fact that oil is now at a 6-month high. It is over \$24.50 a barrel and going up. It is the highest in 6 months. This is caused by the cartel called OPEC and its commitment to maintain a price level somewhere between \$22 and \$28. They do that by addressing the supply of oil on the world market.

Another very significant event occurred yesterday. This event was the response of Saddam Hussein to a request from the United Nations that inspectors again be allowed into Iraq. Saddam Hussein in effect told us to take a hike. He refused to allow inspectors into his country. We have not had inspectors in there in over 2 years.

What does this mean? It is in the eyes of the beholder, but clearly he has made his call. The next call has to be made by our President and the U.N. Are we going to force our inspectors to go into Iraq? What are the circumstances surrounding this issue?

One can conjecture that if we look at bin Laden, at the al-Qaida, we will wish we would have taken action prior to what occurred in association with the terrorist attacks on New York at the Twin Towers, the Pentagon, and the situation we are in of fighting terrorism. Could we have initiated an action sooner?

We could have, but we didn't. In the case of Iraq, the recognition that we all are very much aware that Saddam Hussein is proceeding with weapons of mass destruction, many of my colleagues perhaps saw the CNN hour program the night before last on Iraq, the fact that he is using poison gas on some of his own people; that he has developed mass destruction weapons with warheads that obviously have biological as well as perhaps nuclear capability, clearly a delivery system that would take them from Iraq to Israel, one has to wonder just when we are going to address this reality and how we are going to do it.

I won't belabor my point other than to try and draw some attention to the fact that, indeed, it is a time for alarm. This is a time when the United States is importing from Iraq nearly 800,000 barrels of oil a day. As we reflect on how to relieve that increasing dependence, how do Members reflect upon just how serious a threat Saddam Hussein is to peace in the western world? How do we address our concern over the reality that he has weapons of mass destruction? How are we going to reflect on just how we are going to reduce our dependence on oil from the Mideast when we look to the Saddam Husseins of this world to provide us with our needed oil as opposed to developing oil reserves here at home, either in the Gulf of Mexico or in the State of Alaska?

This is a factor we will have to face because at some point in time, clearly, we will have to address the threat of Iraq and Saddam Hussein. It is my hope that we can somehow prevail on getting inspectors in there and relieving this threat. Saddam Hussein has clearly told us otherwise. He told us yesterday to go take a hike.

I know the beliefs of the Chair with regard to the national security interests of our Nation as we continue to depend on unstable sources for our energy. I wish that more Members would concern themselves with this threat.

IN MEMORY OF TECHNICAL SERGEANT JOHN A. CHAPMAN

Mr. SANTORUM. Madam President, I rise today to recognize the heroic life of Technical Sergeant John A. Chapman, whose family is from Windber, PA. Sergeant Chapman, who was buried today, was killed on Monday, March 4th, during a fierce firefight after his helicopter was shot down by al-Qaida fighters in Afghanistan.

Sgt. Chapman, who was only 36 years old, is survived by Valerie, his wife of 10 years, and by their 2 young daughters, Madison age 5, and Brianna age 3. While I know that this loss is devastating to the entire Chapman family, I can confidently say to Sgt. Chapman's two young daughters that their daddy died for a great cause and that this cause was to protect the world and this Nation against evil people. These people seek to destroy the very foundation of our country which allows all of us to be free and safe and prosperous.

As a Nation, we have been very fortunate in recent years; we have not had to face many casualties while defending our freedom. The death of Sgt. Chapman and the seven other servicemen killed last week really hit home. These losses are painful, but this war has a real purpose, and a real national security implication. In my mind, the sacrifice made by these men is as important as any made during the great wars that we have fought in the past. We never like to lose even a single life. Each casualty we read about in the newspapers means the world to someone who has lost a father, a brother, or a friend. I grieve with the Chapman family and all of the families that have made this ultimate sacrifice, but it is important to remember that they did not die in vain. Our thoughts and prayers are with the Chapmans as they go through this difficult time. Sgt. Chapman died to protect the core values which define our country, and we will always remember him as a hero.

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Madam President, I rise today to speak about hate crimes legislation I introduced with Senator KENNEDY in March of last year. The Local Law Enforcement Act of 2001 would add new categories to current hate crimes legislation sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

I would like to describe a terrible crime that occurred November 21, 1999 in Maple Grove, MN. Two men shoved a lesbian woman, verbally assaulted her, and then attacked her. The assailants, two 21-year-old men, were charged with a hate crime in connection with the incident.

I believe that Government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act of 2001 is now a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation,